Changing Risk Factors An Analysis on Short Term Mortality

Manja Albrecht^{*} Roland Rau[†]

October 24, 2023

Abstract

Ongoing medical advances and individual lifestyles have a lasting impact on mortality risk and life expectancy. Using data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), we examine how the risk of dying from selected pre-existing conditions and individual behaviors changed between 1997 and 2014. In addition to cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, and cancer, we examined tobacco and alcohol use, physical activity, and body mass index as indicators of medical progress. The results show significant reductions in the relative risk of death for cancer, diabetes, and hypertension, and for men also significant reductions for heart disease. On the other hand, there was an increased relative risk for current smokers and, for women, also for former smokers. In summary, medical progress has made a significant contribution to reducing relative mortality risks, while individual lifestyles have had a counteracting effect.

^{*}University of Rostock

[†]University of Rostock & Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research

1 Introduction

Medical achievements and breakthroughs such as the invention of beta-blockers, the first portable defibrillator, coronary artery bypass grafting, and the invention of insulin have ensured that life expectancy has continued to increase in recent decades. Infectious diseases, once a major cause of death, have become more manageable. Improvements in the diagnosis and treatment of diseases, including cardiovascular disease and cancer, have contributed to increased life expectancy (Howlader et al., 2020; O'Flaherty et al., 2013; Vincent et al., 2010). In addition, advances in preventive medicine, including vaccinations and health promotion programs, have helped reduce the spread of infectious diseases (Bonanni, 1999; Simonsen et al., 2005). Access to clean water, sanitation and immunization have also helped reduce mortality rates. For both men and women, in both high-income and poor countries, the risk of death has decreased and life expectancy has increased (Kinsella, 1992; Raleigh, 2019). While the mortality rate of children and infants initially declined due to better medical care and higher living standards, mortality of the elderly population in particular has declined substantially during the past 50 years (Chang et al., 2011; Hakobyan and Yepiskoposyan, 2010). Medical science is enabling people to live longer. At the same time, new challenges have emerged that affect mortality risk. Demographic change, particularly in countries with aging populations, has led to an increase in age-related diseases such as cardiovascular disease, cancer and neurodegenerative diseases. Lifestyle factors such as unhealthy diets, physical inactivity, tobacco and alcohol use, and the rise in mental illness also affect mortality. On the other hand, there is a paradox in that while medical breakthroughs continue to advance, the rate of increase in life expectancy has slowed in many countries (Cardona and Bishai, 2018; Ho and Hendi, 2018; Raleigh, 2019). In the United States, the trajectory of life expectancy has stagnated and began to decline already before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. How can we explain this trend?

One explanation could be that the influence of medicine on the one hand and that of personal lifestyle on the other have different effects on the development of life expectancy and possibly even counteract each other. But what influence do these two aspects have on the mortality risk in detail?

The aim of this study is to examine how mortality risk has changed over time in relation to pre-existing conditions and individual lifestyle. Special attention will be paid to medical progress, as measured by the mortality risk of selected diseases, on the one hand, and to the influence of individual lifestyle on the other.

Health status

Heart disease, cancer, cerebrovascular and respiratory diseases, and diabetes were among the leading causes of death in the United States in both 1997 and 2014 (Hoyert et al., 1999; Kochanek et al., 2016). Since 1998, hypertension has also been among the top 15 causes of death (Martin et al., 1999). Although there has been little change in the ranking of the leading causes of death, there has been a long-term downward trend in the two leading causes of death, heart disease and cancer (Kochanek et al., 2016). The same is true for hypertension and stroke (Kochanek et al., 2016). The declining mortality rates for ischaemic heart disease, stroke and cardiovascular disease are partly due to medical improvements, more effective and earlier treatment, more accurate diagnosis and better post-hospital care (Ezzati et al., 2005).

For example, the global decline in stroke mortality can at least partly be explained by increased consumption and fortification of folic acid (Bonita et al., 1990; Yang et al., 2006). The influence of medical progress is also evident in cancer mortality. According to Howlader et al. (2020), the significant decline between 2013 and 2016 can be attributed to improvements in treatment, for example through the approval and use of targeted therapies. The contrasting trends in prevalence and mortality are also a clear sign of improved prevention. While the prevalence of diabetes increased in both sexes and across all age groups between 1995 and 2005, the mortality rate decreased. (Lipscombe and Hux, 2007).

It is important to note, however, that the diseases do not develop independently of each other. For example, the duration of diabetes affects the risk of coronary heart disease (Fox et al., 2004). In addition, diabetes is an independent predictor of cancer (Coughlin et al., 2004). It is also associated with high blood pressure and the risk of stroke (Joffres et al., 2013).

Despite evidence that medical advances have significantly reduced the risk of dying, life expectancy at birth in the United States has remained almost stagnant by international standards. (Raleigh (2019)) Looking behind the facade, smoking remains the most common preventable cause of death, followed by obesity and physical inactivity. (Mokdad et al. (2004))

Individual Lifestyle

Smoking

Smoking is a major cause of premature and avoidable death and morbidity worldwide. (Ezzati et al., 2005; Lakier, 1992; Office on Smoking and Health (US), 2001). Smoking

is associated with increased mortality from cancer, particularly lung cancer, stroke and cardiovascular disease. (Kenfield et al., 2008; Lakier, 1992). In general, the risk increases with the daily consumption of smoked cigarettes. The higher the consumption, the higher the mortality, including all-cause mortality (Carter et al., 2015; Kenfield et al., 2008). Thun et al. (2013) found clear gender differences in their study. While the risk for male smokers has remained more or less stable since the 1980s, the risk for female smokers continues to increase. By the end of the 2000s, there were hardly any differences. In addition, Thun et al. (2013) found that quitting smoking has a greater positive effect on mortality than reducing tobacco consumption. Similarly, Doll et al. (2004) and Kenfield et al. (2008) found that quitting at the age of 30 had almost no negative effect on mortality in old age. Quitting at the age of 50 halves the relative risk of death. (Doll et al., 2004) It is therefore important to consider former smokers as well as smokers and non-smokers. It is also important to differentiate the daily tobacco consumption of smokers. For this study, the classification of Doll et al. (2004) was used.¹

Alcohol consumption

The effect of alcohol on health and ultimately mortality is complex and influenced by a number of factors, including the amount of alcohol consumed, the type of alcohol consumed, the pattern of consumption and individual health. However, it is important to note that the relationship between alcohol consumption and mortality is not linear. While excessive alcohol consumption is associated with increased mortality, studies show that low to moderate alcohol consumption is associated with a reduced risk of certain types of heart disease. Heavy drinking, on the other hand, is associated with an increased risk of death, especially from breast cancer and cirrhosis of the liver. The relationship between alcohol consumption and mortality is therefore more like a J or U curve (Fuchs et al., 1995; Marmot and Brunner, 1991; Poikolainen, 1995; White et al., 2002). Epidemiological and biological evidence suggests that light drinking may reduce the risk of death from cardiovascular disease. In contrast, heavy drinking is associated with increased mortality from suicide, accidents and stroke (Poikolainen, 1995). In addition to consumption, age also plays a role in the mortality associated with alcohol consumption. According to (White et al., 2002), there is a U-shaped progression for women from the age of 55 and for men from the age of 34. This may be partly due to the fact that some people cannot or are not allowed to drink because of existing health problems. It is important to note that the exact shape of the curve and the exact thresholds for 'moderate' and

¹Low consumption is defined as 1–14 cigarettes per day, 15–24 cigarettes describe moderate consumption, more than 25 cigarettes per day are defined as heavy consumption.

'heavy' consumption may vary from study to study. For this study, the classification of consumption according to Baker et al. (2002) was followed, as a detailed breakdown according to frequency of alcohol consumption was available.

Body-Mass-Index

Among high-income countries, the United States has the lowest life expectancy and the highest prevalence of obesity. (Preston and Stokes (2011)) Between 1980 and 2000, the prevalence of obesity among adults in the United States increased significantly. This upward trend continued through 2014. (Flegal et al. (2016)) The influence of body weight on mortality and the relative risk of death has been the subject of numerous studies (Flegal et al., 2016; Gruberg et al., 2002; Manson et al., 1995; Masters et al., 2013; Oreopoulos et al., 2008; Preston and Stokes, 2011; Wannamethee and Shaper, 1989) For example, according to Preston & Stokes (2011), obesity can reduce life expectancy from the age of 50 for women by more than 1. 5 years for women and almost 1.9 years for men. Thus, high levels of obesity are associated with low levels of longevity. However, previous research has shown a J- or U-shaped relationship between BMI and mortality (Manson et al., 1995; Oreopoulos et al., 2008; Wannamethee and Shaper, 1989). This means that underweight people have an increased relative risk of death, while the risk is lowest for normal and overweight people. For obese people, the risk of death increases significantly. There is currently no clear explanation for this paradox, but there are several possible explanations (Oreopoulos et al., 2008). In their research, Wannamethee and Shaper (1989) find that underweight people die mainly from cancer or respiratory diseases, for which mortality rates are higher per se, whereas for obese people cardiovascular diseases are major causes of death, for which the probability of survival is significantly higher. The causality remains unclear. Another possible explanation comes from Gruberg et al. (2002), who found that for coronary heart disease, underweight and normal weight people had the highest relative risk of death. Gruberg et al. (2002) They highlight age as an influencing factor. The mortality rate for normal weight people is lowest among those under 50, and increases with age. Overweight people aged 50-69 have the lowest mortality rate, while overweight people aged 70 and over have the lowest mortality rate. All-cause mortality also shows that the relative risk of being overweight decreases with age. (Stevens et al. (1998); Bender et al. (1999); Corrada et al. (2006))

Physical activity

Physical activity is closely related to body mass index. Sedentary behaviour in particular has a negative impact on people's health. In addition to an increased risk of death from cardiovascular disease and cancer, the risk of developing diabetes or heart disease also increases with frequent sedentary behaviour. The World Health Organization (WHO) therefore recommends that adults get at least 150 to 300 minutes of moderate activity, such as dancing or jogging, or 75 to 150 minutes of vigorous activity, such as strength training, every week. In general, the more often and for longer, the better for your health. However, it is also emphasised that a little activity is better than no activity at all. For people over 65, it is also recommended that activity be adapted to physical ability (WHO, 2020).²

2 Data

The analysis is based on data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) from 1997 to 2014. The data cover a wide range of health indicators, including information on chronic conditions, access to health care, individual behaviours related to tobacco and alcohol use, and daily physical activity. These data were collected through face-to-face interviews with thousands of households across the US.

It is important to note that NHIS data are self-reported, meaning that they are based on respondents' answers and not on medical records or clinical examinations. Therefore, they may differ from the actual health status of the respondents.

The analysis is based upon a sample includes all persons aged 30 to 84, of whom 194,845 are women and 159,781 are men. All persons for whom no information was available on previous diseases, physical activity or body mass index were excluded (see Table 1).

As can be seen from the Table 1, the proportion of people who died within a survival time of 3 years after the interview remains the same for all time periods.³ For women the proportion is between 2.0% and 2.2% - for men between 2.8% and 3.1%.

3 Method

We analyzed the change in mortality risk using a Cox Proportional Harzard model. By linking the NHIS data to the National Death Index (NDI), it is possible to combine individual mortality data with information from the survey to determine an accurate survival period.

We examined short-term mortality with a survival time of 3 years. The methodological

 $^{^{2}}$ This recommendation was used to guide the classification of physical activity in this study.

³For more detailed information on survival time, see the methods section

Table 1: Descriptive Statistic - Female and Male									
		Female	Male						
	Alive	Dead	Ν	Alive	Dead	Ν			
1997 - 1999	$30,\!173$	681	$30,\!854$	$23,\!819$	741	$24,\!560$			
	97.8%	2.2%		97.0%	3.0%				
2000 - 2002	34,742	706	$35,\!448$	$27,\!687$	874	$28,\!561$			
	98.0%	2.0%		96.9%	3.1%				
2003 - 2005	32,753	675	$33,\!428$	26,737	817	$27,\!554$			
	98.0%	2.0%		97.0%	3.0%				
2006 - 2008	$23,\!625$	518	$24,\!143$	$19,\!421$	588	20,009			
	97.9%	2.1%		97.1%	2.9%				
2009-2011	$31,\!559$	653	32,212	26,034	753	26,787			
	98.0%	2.0%		97.2%	2.8%				
2012 - 2014	$37,\!953$	807	38,760	$31,\!332$	978	32,310			
	97.9%	2.1%		97.0%	3.0%				
Ν	190,805	4040	194,845	$155,\!030$	4751	159,781			
	97.9%	2.1%		97.0%	3.0%				

reason for this is that it creates the same basis for interpretation for each person - each person has the same period of time in which the event (in this case death) can occur. On the other hand, the fixed determination of survival time counteracts the distortion caused by changing behaviour over time (e.g. in terms of alcohol consumption or BMI). As described in the data section, people are interviewed once, which means that the reported lifestyle behaviors may change during the follow-up period. For example, a person may declare to be a non-smoker, but in the following years starts smoking. However, the person is still included in the analysis as a non-smoker. This can distort the results. Due to the gender-specific mortality risks, the development of relative mortality risks was carried out separately for men and women, in each case at 3-year intervals.

Overall, the analysis covers three areas that influence mortality. First, aspects of socio-economic status are included; second are pre-existing conditions, and the health status of individuals. Finally we also looked individual lifestyle factors (alcohol, tobacco consumption, physical activity and body mass index).

Surface Plots

To get a first impression of the general trend in relative mortality risks, the survival analysis was preceded by a logistic regression analysis to calculate odds ratios. The dependent variable in this regression was also survival or death within the 3-year survival period. To calculate the odds ratios, all missing values were removed from the data and dichotomous variables were created from the categorical variables tobacco and alcohol use and cancer. The results, presented in surface plots, clearly show whether there has been a change in relative risks over time and how strong this change is. It should be noted that the influence is isolated in each case; further variables have not been taken into account.

4 Results

Surface Plots

Selected surface plots are shown below as examples.

Figure 1 shows the odds ratios for female and male diabetes patients. For women (upper panel), the excess mortality risk of being diagnosed with diabetes decreases with age. The changes seem to be most pronounced for those under 50. The picture is similar for men. Although the relative risk of dying reached its peak in the 2000s, it decreases as the years go by. For men, too, the changes are most marked for the under-60s and under-50s (see Figure 1).



Relative Mortality Risk of Women with Diabetes vs. without

Relative Mortality Risk of Men with Diabetes vs. without



On the other hand, smoking behavior shows the opposite trend. There is an increase over time for both sexes. For women, the relative mortality risk of smokers is particularly high between the ages of 40 and 75. However, increases can also be observed for the other age groups. A similar pattern can be described for men, with the largest changes occurring between the ages of 30 and 60. The changes from the 2000s onwards are particularly striking, especially after 2010 (see Figure 2).



Relative Mortality Risk of Women Smoker vs. Non–Smoker

Relative Mortality Risk of Men Smoker vs. Non–Smoker



Descriptive Statistics - Prevalence

Tables 4 & 5 provide an overview of the descriptive statistics.

People with medium education are the largest group in all years for both sexes - although it should be noted that the share of people with medium and low education decreases over time, while the share of people with high education increases. The prevalence of heart disease does not change much for women, but increases by almost 2 percentage points for men. For diabetes, there is an increase for both sexes (4.6 percentage points for women; 5.7 percentage points for men). The proportion of people who have suffered a stroke is also increasing for both women and men. For women, it is slightly higher than for men, 0.8 to 0.6. In contrast, the prevalence of chronic bronchitis has decreased for both sexes. There was a significant increase in the proportion of people suffering from hypertension -7.6 pp for women and 11 pp for men. The prevalence of cancer also increased. For both sexes, the proportion of current and cured cancer patients has increased.

Regarding smoking behavior, the proportion of women who have never smoked has increased since 1997 (56.6% to 61.1%). It should also be noted that women are much less likely to smoke (11.8% to 5.9%). A similar pattern emerges for men, although the proportions of non-smokers are lower than for women, but the proportion of heavy smokers is more pronounced for men. It is striking that the proportion of former smokers among men exceeds that of women by about 10 percentage points in all years.

With regard to alcohol consumption, for both sexes the proportion of non-drinkers decreases slightly over time, while the proportion of current drinkers increases slightly. There are no clear patterns. The topic of sport seems to have become increasingly important for the population over the years. In 1997–1999, 41.7% of women and 36.5% of men said they never exercised, but in 2012–2014 only 31.8% of women and 29.3% of men did so. In addition, the percentage of people exercising more than the WHO recommendation (source) is increasing.

Paradoxically, the average body mass index for both women and men increased during the observation period. While it was 25.5 for women in 1997-1999, it increased to 26.6 within 18 years. For men, it also increased, from 26.5 in 1997-1999 to 27.5 in 2012-2014. (see Tables 4 & 5).

Trends in prevalence may reflect an aging or sicker population, or they may reflect improved prevention. Diseases are being detected earlier and more often, in part because of better medical care. That this does not necessarily mean a sicker population is shown by the increasing proportion of cured cancer patients. Trends in individual lifestyles, on the other hand, are less clear, suggesting both increased health awareness and unhealthier lifestyles (see Tables 4 & 5).

Results: Females

The results for women are presented first. They are presented in Table 2.

Socioeconomic status

The analysis shows strong fluctuations for education and the income ratio. While no clear trend can be observed for the influence of income on relative mortality risk, a convergence of the different levels can be observed for education. Compared to persons with a high level of education, the relative mortality risk for persons with a low level of education has decreased since 1997–1999. While the relative risk was 85% at the beginning of the observation period, it decreased to 34% by 2012–2014. There are also fluctuations in the relative risk for those with a medium level of education — from 47% to 20%. (see Table 2).

Health status

The incidence of preexisting conditions also varies widely from year to year. There is no clear trend for heart disease. For stroke, there is a clear increase in the relative risk of death from 2000 to 2005, which decreases sharply in the following years and falls to a level of 49% in 2012–2014.

In contrast, there is a clear negative trend in the relative risk of death for patients with diabetes. In 1997–1999, the relative risk was about 2.2 times higher for diabetics than for non-diabetics. The relative risk then decreased to 42% by 2012–2014. Thus, the excess risk of death for people with diabetes decreased by almost 80 percentage points during the observation period.

The relative risk of death for hypertensive patients has also decreased significantly. While it was 95% in 1997–1999 compared to non-hypertensives, it decreased by 45 percentage points to 50% in 2012–2014. Although there was an increase of 13 percentage points in 2006-2008.

The trend in the relative risk of death for people with chronic bronchitis also shows a decreasing trend - but it should be noted that there are significant fluctuations between 2000 and 2011.

The most significant decrease in the relative risk of death is for cancer. Whereas in 1997–1999 cancer patients had a relative risk almost 4 times higher than non-cancer patients, the risk decreased by more than 130 percentage points during the observation period. The largest changes occurred between 2009 and 2014.

Even among those considered cancer-free 4 , the relative risk decreased by a maximum of 37 percentage points (2006–2008). However, the analysis shows a slight increase from 2006–2008 to 2012–2014.

Overall, most of the selected pre-existing conditions show a decreasing relative risk of death for women. (see Table 2)

Lifestyle Factors

The picture is different for individual lifestyle factors.

Compared to nonsmokers, current smokers with light and heavy tobacco use in particular show a significant increase in relative mortality risk. Overall, the risk increases by 80 percentage points for light smokers, although there is a brief dip in 2003–2005. For heavy smokers, the relative risk increased by 81 percentage points and was more than double that of non-smokers who were interviews in 2012–2014. There was also an increase for former smokers. Over the entire observation period, the risk increased by almost 20 percentage points. Strikingly, there was a short-lived spike in 2006-2008 to nearly 2.4 times the risk compared with never-smokers.

In the case of alcohol consumption, the paradox described in the literature is evident - non-drinkers and former drinkers have a higher real risk than current drinkers. In particular, the risk of former drinkers has changed over the observation period. While the risk decreased from 1997–1999 to 2006–2008, it has increased again to the baseline level since 2009.

Physical activity, regardless of duration (measured according to WHO recommendations(source)), has a preventive effect on relative mortality risk. This effect remains approximately constant throughout the observation period. (See Table 2).

No clear conclusion can be drawn for the change in the effect of BMI on relative mortality risk. It can be stated that a BMI of less than 25 is associated with an increased relative risk of mortality - a slight attenuation of this negative effect can also be assumed. With regard to overweight or obesity, only the periods 1997–1997, 2003–2005 and 2009–2011 show an increased risk. (see Figure 6)

 $^{^{4}\}mathrm{It}$ is assumed that individuals whose cancer diagnosis was more than 10 years ago are considered cancer-free

	Table 2.	Results S	urvival	Analysis	Female		
Variable	10010 2.	1997-	2000-	2003-	2006-	2009-	2012-
		1999	2002	2005	2008	2011	2014
Educatio	n	1000	2002	2000	2000	-011	-011
	Low	1.85	1.13	1.65	1.42	1.88	1.34
	Medium	1.47	0.98	1.31	1.08	1.69	1.20
	High (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	NA	0.49	0.61	1.64	3.58	1.20	1.33
Poverty t	hreshold						
	Under	1.17	1.05	1.19	1.05	1.36	1.11
	Over (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
и , р.	NA	1.17	1.10	1.16	1.28	1.28	0.95
Heart Di	seases	4	4	1	1	4	4
	No (Ref.)	1 74	1 96	1	1 54	1 94	1
	ies NA	1.74	1.80 NA	2.05	1.34	1.84 NA	1.79 MA
Diabatas	NA	294.10	INA	0.41	0.00	INA	ΝA
Diabetes	No (Ref)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Ves	2 21	1.86	1.87	1 66	1 70	1 49
	NA	3.62	2.56	NA	0.00	NA	NA
Stroke	1111	0.02	2.00	1111	0.00	1111	1111
Strone	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	1.73	-2.35	-2.57	2.31	-1.51	1.49
	NA	0.00	1.24	6.35	3.28	1.05	1.94
Chronic 1	Bronchitis						
	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	1.33	1.08	0.98	1.04	1.21	0.95
	NA	2.40	2.74	0.66	0.90	NA	NA
Hyperter	nsion						
	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	1.95	1.78	1.49	1.62	1.31	1.50
	NA	3.86	NA	6.49	2.88	6.91	4.94
Cancer (a	any type)						
	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	3.77	3.45	3.82	3.64	2.99	2.46
	Healed	1.78	1.88	1.86	1.41	1.58	1.60
~	NA	0.61	3.78	6.39	3.07	0.64	1.47
Smoking							
	Never smoking (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Current smoker – weak	1.60	1.87	1.46	2.51	2.38	2.40
	Current smoker – moderate	1.52	0.95	1.17	2.15	1.08	1.07
	Earmon amalian	1.20	1.42 1.76	1.40	1.00	1.50	2.09 1.77
	NA	2.02	1.70	1.59	2.38	1.09	1.77
Alcohol c	consumption	2.02	1.29	0.97	1.73	1.11	1.00
AICOHOI C	Lifetime abstainer (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Current drinker – weak	0.67	0.64	0.64	0.56	0.72	0.69
	Current drinker – moderate	0.93	0.75	0.64	0.46	0.85	0.61
	Current drinker – heavy	1.05	0.60	0.88	0.57	1.35	0.99
	Former drinker	1.25	1.13	1.05	1.03	1.24	1.30
	NA	1.34	1.77	1.30	1.46	0.78	1.45
Physical	Activity (Compare to WHC) recommad	ation				
-	Never (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Less than recommadation	0.53	0.48	0.68	0.43	0.73	0.66
	Equal to recommadation	0.29	0.30	0.38	0.40	0.56	0.41
	More than recommadation	0.31	0.23	0.30	0.34	0.45	0.35
	Unable dor activity	2.20	1.70	1.61	1.64	1.48	1.73
	NA	0.16	0.33	0.91	0.44	0.71	1.05

Reference: NHIS 2023 (own estimations)

Results for Males

The results for male are discussed below and shown in Table 3.

Socioeconomic status

Since 1997, the influence of educational level has developed positively for men - the different levels have converged. It should be noted that in 2012–2014 the differences have increased again. At least in 2009–2011, the differences are 11% for the low educated and 9% for the medium educated compared to the high educated.

The influence of income level is, similar to women, highly variable and does not show a clear trend (see Table 3).

Health status

The trend for stroke patients is similar to that for women, but to a lesser extent. There is a significant increase between 2000 and 2008, after which the initial level is reached again by 2014.

In contrast to women, male heart patients show a clearly positive trend in the relative risk of death. While the relative risk was 2.3 times higher in 1997–1999 compared to those without heart disease, the risk decreased to 44%. Thus, the relative risk decreased by almost 90 percentage points in 17 years.

For diabetics, the relative risk of death also showed a positive trend - in 2012–2014 it was only 27%. In 1997–1999, diabetics had an 80% higher relative risk than nondiabetics.

Persons with chronic bronchitis had an almost 60% higher relative risk of death in 1997–1999 compared with those without the disease. This relative risk decreased to 35% during the observation period, a decrease of more than 20 percentage points. The decrease was most pronounced between 2000–2002 and 2003–2005.

The development of the relative risk of death among hypertensive patients is also positive. At the beginning of the observation period, patients with hypertension had a relative risk that was almost 70% higher than that of those without the disease. In 2012–2014, this was only 39%, although there was a slight increase between 2009–2011 and 2012–2014.

The mortality risk of cancer patients showed a similar positive trend, but to a much greater extent. During the observation period, the relative risk fell from 216% to 90%, a decrease of almost 130 percentage points. As was already the case for women, the largest decrease was also observed for men in 2009. (see Table 3).

Lifestyle Factors

Smoking behavior shows a clear downward trend for men. While no clear trend emerges for former smokers, current smokers, regardless of tobacco use, show an increase in relative risk of smoking. The increase is greatest for light tobacco use - in 1997-1999, the relative risk was 18% higher than for never smokers; in 2012-2014, the relative risk was 2.4 times higher than for never smokers. For moderate use, the relative risk increased by almost 80 percentage points over the observation period, and for heavy use by 25 percentage points.

For the observation period up to 2008, the risk paradox already described for women can be observed for alcohol consumption (source?). Overall, however, the relative risk of death due to alcohol consumption decreases over time and reaches a minimum in 2012-2014, with the exception of heavy consumption. Physical activity, as already observed for women, has a positive effect on relative mortality risk - individuals who participate in physical activity (regardless of the amount) have a lower relative mortality risk than men who do not participate in physical activity. There is little change over time.

Similar to women, for BMI we see that underweight has a negative effect on the relative risk of death. There is no clear trend, but the lowest relative risk for underweight is observed for 2009-2011, while 1997-1999 shows a significantly higher relative risk. For overweight and obesity, an increased relative risk is observed only for 2012-2014, and there is no trend. (see Table 3 & Figure 7)

	Table 3	: Results	Surviva	l Analys	sis Male		
Variable		1997-	2000-	2003-	2006-	2009-	2012-
		1999	2002	2005	2008	2011	2014
Educati	on	1000	2002	2000	2000	2011	2014
Laucati	Low	1.83	1.46	1.48	1.41	1.11	1.60
	Medium	1.37	1.10	1.10	1.11	1.09	1.00
	High (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	NA	1 69	1 10	0.26	0.52	136	3 15
Poverty	threshold	1.00	1.10	0.20	0.02	1.00	0.10
1 0 001 0	Under	1.00	1 21	1 49	1.33	1 13	1.07
	Over (Ref.)	1	1	1	1.00	1	1
	NA	1 14	1 05	1 27	1 33	1 26	1 15
Heart D	Diseases	1.1.1	1.00	1.21	1.00	1.20	1.10
110010 2	No (Bef.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Ves	2 30	1 96	1 75	1.88	1 78	1 44
	NA	11.22	1.50	0.00	NA	NA	NA
Diabete	8	11.22	1.01	0.00	1111	1111	1111
Diabete	No (Bef.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	1.80	-2.09	1.85	1.94	1.56	1.27
	NA	0.00	0.71	3.00	1.62	1.27	NA
Stroke			0.1.2	0.00			
	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	1.74	2.10	2.16	2.01	1.89	1.75
	NA	2.56	1.47	4.69	1.46	NA	NA
Chronic	Bronchitis				-		
	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	1.58	1.63	1.25	1.35	1.39	1.35
	NA	1.42	2.40	0.51	2.49	NA	6.51
Hyperte	ension						
	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	1.68	1.32	1.73	1.26	1.26	1.39
	NA	2.43	0.92	1.45	0.00	3.09	2.00
Cancer	(any type)						
	No (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Yes	3.16	2.94	3.04	2.51	2.07	1.90
	Healed	1.50	2.57	2.78	2.03	1.38	2.13
	NA	4.19	1.93	1.10	2.95	1.05	1.97
Smoking	g						
	Never smoking (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Current smoker – weak	1.18	1.76	1.58	1.88	1.20	2.42
	Current smoker – moderate	1.34	1.71	2.00	1.98	1.74	2.12
	Current smoker – strong	1.57	1.78	1.82	1.74	1.62	1.82
	Former smoker	1.50	1.83	1.39	1.46	1.68	1.59
	NA	0.81	1.23	1.01	3.39	2.13	2.03
Alcohol	consumption						
	Lifetime abstainer (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Current drinker – weak	0.90	0.73	0.85	0.83	0.67	0.73
	Current drinker – moderate	0.91	0.97	1.15	1.11	0.60	0.68
	Current drinker – heavy	0.82	1.00	0.83	1.10	0.82	0.95
	Former drinker	1.46	1.15	1.23	1.09	1.02	0.96
	NA	0.63	1.26	1.25	0.79	0.80	0.67
Physical	l Activity (Compare to WHC	O recommad	lation				
	Never (Ref.)	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Less than recommadation	0.60	0.64	0.62	0.56	0.52	0.55
	Equal to recommadation	0.46	0.44	0.49	0.49	0.33	0.51
	More than recommadation	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.38	0.40	0.39
	Unable dor activity	1.48	1.88	1.64	1.82	1.55	1.91
	NA	0.46	0.63	0.59	0.49	0.47	0.38



Figure 3: Relative Mortality Risk - Health variables



Figure 4: Relative Mortality Risk - Smoking consumption



Figure 5: Relative Mortality Risk - Physical activity

5 Discussion

The development of short-term relative mortality risks is characterized by many fluctuations - and there are also clear differences between men and women. In general, there are positive developments, especially in the area of pre-existing conditions. Men benefit significantly more from medical advances than women. On the other hand, there is a worsening of the relative mortality risk for both sexes in the area of individual lifestyle, in particular tobacco and alcohol consumption. It should be noted that BMI does not fully reflect the effect as expected from the literature. Although underweight people have a higher relative risk, as expected, there are only isolated adverse effects for very overweight people. The beneficial effects of physical activity persist over time, but at a constant level. The differences between men and women are relatively small.

It was observed that socio-economic influences seem to play a minor role in the overall construct of mortality. The effect of income does not seem to change overall for either sex — if anything, there is a slight increase. Although the evolution of the influence of education is subject to strong fluctuations, a positive balance can be drawn. Positive in the sense that relative differences in mortality by education are converging. This contradicts studies that point to a widening of social inequalities. (Singh and Siahpush (2006))

Medical progress is a major contributor to changes in the relative risk of death. Cancer research in particular shows significant improvements for both sexes from 2009 onwards. Progress in the field of diabetes is also clearly positive.

The development of the relative risk of death for stroke patients shows an increasing trend for both men and women until 2003-2005 and then a decreasing trend. Although the relative risk for women has decreased by about 24 percentage points since 1997–1999, the results contradict studies showing a decrease in stroke mortality (Cooper et al.,

1990; Yang et al., 2006)). Although this decline has slowed in recent decades due to demographic and geographical influences (Yang et al., 2017). One explanation for the results of this study could be an increase in prevalence due to early detection. Strokes could be diagnosed earlier due to better medical facilities. This paper also looked at all-cause mortality in relation to various pre-existing conditions. Yang (2006) and Cooper (1990) looked specifically at deaths from stroke. It is therefore not illogical that the results differ.

The unexpected results on BMI and its influence on relative risk of death raise questions. The fact that being severely to very severely overweight does not have a negative effect on the relative risk of death contradicts previous findings. One possible explanation could be the selected survival time. Much of the literature refers to long-term survival. ⁵. It is likely that being severely overweight or obese has a long-term negative effect on relative mortality risk rather than a short-term effect. The fact that even in the short term underweight has a negative effect on relative mortality risk over all years may be due to the interaction between pre-existing conditions and BMI. For example, cancer patients may lose a lot of weight as a result of their disease and treatment. It can also be assumed that people who are already underweight because of their illness do not gain as much weight and therefore have an increased relative risk. Surface plots of each BMI category were generated to assess the issue in more detail (see section Surface Plots for a description of surface plots). In each case, using the nomarl weights (BMI > 18.5 & \leq 25.0) as reference, three plots were calculated - underweight (BMI \leq 18.5), overweight $(BMI > 25.0 \& \le 30.0)$ and obese (BMI > 30.0). For women, underweight is particularly detrimental in older age groups (50 to 75 years), although younger age groups are also negatively affected by underweight. There is also a decrease in the severity of the disadvantage of being underweight over time. The picture is different for men. Here, in addition to significantly higher relative mortality risks, the results also show a concentration of the disadvantage at younger ages, which increases significantly by 2014 (see Figure 8). Overweight shows a protective effect for both sexes, which remains approximately stable for men at all ages and over all years. For women, the relative risk of death decreases with increasing age and continues to do so until 2014. (see figure 9) For obesity, women show an increased relative risk, especially for those under 50, but paradoxically this decreases over time. Furthermore, the older the woman, the lower the relative risk of death. For men, however, there are no significant changes in the relative risk of death over time. Again, the relative risk decreases with increasing age. (see figure 10) It can therefore be seen that the paradoxical results of the survival analysis also persist in the

⁵or survival time is not defined more precisely

individual analysis. However, it should be noted that there are significant gender and age differences.

The inclusion of recent data would be interesting for subsequent analyses. The current data situation of the NHIS allows a linkage of the NDI until 2018. ⁶ As the data are updated, it would also be possible and useful to carry out a further analysis of the research design in order to assess how it is progressing. Under the assumption that the selected survival time has an influence on the research results (e.g. on the influence of BMI), it would be interesting to analyse an adaptation of the research design against the background of different survival times.

Limitations

The NHIS data provide a wealth of information about people's health and lifestyles, as well as their mortality. The problem, as noted in the data section, is that the information is collected only once. This means that there can be a long period of time between the interview and the death of a person, during which time circumstances can change. Lifestyle factors, tobacco and alcohol consumption, physical activity and BMI are particularly affected. Also, pre-existing conditions that are discovered after the interview are not recorded retrospectively.

 $^{^{6}}$ Month of birth data needed for survival analysis are only available until 2014

6 Appendix

	1997-19	99	2000-200	52	2003-200	05	2006-20	08	2009-20.	11	2012-201	14
Education												
Low	6,644	21.5	7,034	19.8	6,078	18.2	4,310	17.9	5,350	16.6	5,843	15.1
Medium	17,452	56.6	20,485	57.8	19,158	57.3	13,670	56.6	18,054	56.0	21,603	55.7
High	6,641	21.5	7,768	21.9	8,022	24.0	6,061	25.1	8,710	27.0	11,215	28.9
NA	117	0.4	161	0.5	170	0.5	102	0.4	98	0.3	99	0.3
Poverty threshold												
Under	3,828	12.4	4,054	11.4	3,732	11.2	3,107	12.9	5,081	15.8	6,362	16.4
Over	20,886	67.7	23,810	67.2	22,481	67.3	17,574	72.8	24,628	76.5	30,001	77.4
NA	6,140	19.9	7,584	21.4	7,215	21.6	3,462	14.3	2,503	7.8	2,397	6.2
Heart diseases												
Yes	3,829	12.4	4,279	12.1	4,246	12.7	3,013	12.5	3,955	12.3	4,707	12.1
No	27,002	87.5	31,158	87.9	29,172	87.3	21,122	87.5	28,253	87.7	34,049	87.8
NA	23	0.1	11	0.0	10	0.0	8	0.0	4	0.0	4	0.0
Diabetes												
Yes	2.204	7.1	2.713	7.7	2.935	8.8	2,505	10.4	3.613	11.2	4.550	11.7
No	28.616	92.7	32.706	92.3	30.481	91.2	21.624	89.6	28.595	88.8	34,198	88.2
NA	34	0.1	29	0.1	12	0.0	14	0.1	4	0.0	12	0.0
Stroke	01	0.1	20	0.1		0.0		0.1	-	0.0		0.0
Ves	850	2.8	1.011	29	1.069	32	895	37	1 1 7 2	3.6	1 382	36
No	29 959	97 1	34 409	97 1	32 325	96 7	23 230	96.2	31 013	96.0	37 359	96.4
NA	45	0.1	28	0.1	34	0.1	18	0.1	114	0.4	10	00.4
Chromia Propolitia	40	0.1	20	0.1	94	0.1	10	0.1	114	0.4	15	0.0
Vos	2 0 2 7	66	2 447	6.0	2 072	6 2	1 420	5.0	2.075	64	2 200	57
No	2,021	0.0	2,447	0.2	2,072	027	22 710	04 1	2,013	02 5	2,200	04.2
N A	20,101	93.3	32,909	93.0	20	0 1	16	0 1	14	0.0	16	94.0
INA Usertententing	40	0.1	32	0.1	30	0.1	10	0.1	14	0.0	10	0.0
hyptertension	0.177	00 7	10.050		10.007	00 0	0 570	05 5	11.000	00 7	14 449	07.0
Yes	9,177	29.7	10,652	30.0	10,907	32.6	8,576	35.5	11,822	30.7	14,443	37.3
NO	21,631	70.1	24,772	69.9	22,493	67.3	15,550	64.4	20,376	63.3	24,294	62.7
NA C (46	0.1	24	0.1	28	0.1	17	0.1	14	0.0	23	0.1
Cancer (any type)												~ -
Yes	1,635	5.3	1,976	5.6	1,897	5.7	1,442	6.0	1,980	6.1	2,583	6.7
Yes, but healed	1,010	3.3	1,181	3.3	1,207	3.6	952	3.9	1,424	4.4	1,800	4.6
No	28,135	91.2	32,221	90.9	30,244	90.5	21,696	89.9	28,756	89.3	34,303	88.5
NA	66	0.2	57	0.2	64	0.2	44	0.2	37	0.1	58	0.1
Smoking												
Never smoker	17,466	56.6	20,574	58.0	19,920	59.6	14,762	61.1	19,657	61.0	23,709	61.2
Current smoker – weak	1,527	4.9	1,674	4.7	1,594	4.8	1,222	5.1	1,729	5.4	2,112	5.4
Current smoker – moderate	1,499	4.9	1,794	5.1	1,587	4.7	1,149	4.8	1,657	5.1	1,949	5.0
Current smoker – heavy	3,631	11.8	3,827	10.8	3,076	9.2	1,967	8.1	2,296	7.1	2,306	5.9
Former smoker	6,571	21.3	7,400	20.9	7,055	21.1	4,933	20.4	6,765	21.0	8,565	22.1
NA	160	0.5	179	0.5	196	0.6	110	0.5	108	0.3	119	0.3
Alcohol consumption												
Lifetime abstainer	8,727	28.3	10,198	28.8	9,791	29.3	6,894	28.6	8,218	25.5	9,621	24.8
Current drinker – weak	13,155	42.6	15,213	42.9	14,031	42.0	10,193	42.2	14,353	44.6	17,310	44.7
Current drinker – moderate	2,822	9.1	3,355	9.5	3,372	10.1	2,479	10.3	3,539	11.0	4,611	11.9
Current drinker – heavy	463	1.5	469	1.3	453	1.4	360	1.5	530	1.6	570	1.5
Former drinker	5,320	17.2	5,760	16.2	5,360	16.0	3,961	16.4	5,359	16.6	6,390	16.5
NA	367	1.2	453	1.3	421	1.3	256	1.1	213	0.7	258	0.7
Physical Activity												
Never	12,880	41.7	14,066	39.7	12,992	38.9	9,318	38.6	11,238	34.9	12,337	31.8
Less than recommendation	7,289	23.6	8,068	22.8	7,532	22.5	5,755	23.8	7,917	24.6	9,504	24.5
Equal to recommendation	4,672	15.1	5,258	14.8	5,428	16.2	3,765	15.6	5,275	16.4	6,837	17.6
More than recommendation	4,865	15.8	6,064	17.1	5,667	17.0	4,117	17.1	6,435	20.0	8,581	22.1
Unable	780	2.5	1,296	3.7	1,219	3.6	775	3.2	861	2.7	894	2.3
NA	368	1.2	696	2.0	590	1.8	413	1.7	486	1.5	607	1.6
Body-Mass-Index												
Minimum	14.3		14.6		15.2		14.9		14.8		14.3	
1 st Quantil	22.3		22.6		22.8		23.0		23.2		23.3	
Median	25.5		25.7		25.8		26.5		26.6		26.6	
Mean	26.3		26.7		27.0		27.5		27.7		27.8	
3 rd Quantil	20.0		20.0		20.2		20.0		21.1		21.0	
Marimum	49.0 50.0		49.9 50 5		51.2		54.7		54 5		51.0	
waximum	JU.2		50.5		01.7		04.7		04.0		JJ.J	

Table 4: Prevalence Female

	1997-19	99 Ta	$able_{2000-200}^{-200}$	Prev	alence	Male	2006-20	08	2009-20	11	2012-20	14
Education	1001 10		2000 20	-	2000 20	00	2000 20	00	2000 20		2012 20	
Low	4,806	19.6	5,356	18.8	4,922	17.9	3,534	17.7	4,475	16.7	4,939	15.3
Medium	13,340	54.3	15,404	53.9	14,855	53.9	10,635	53.2	14,393	53.7	17,502	54.2
High	6,290	25.6	7,636	26.7	7,629	27.7	5,732	28.6	7,823	29.2	9,745	30.2
NĂ	124	0.5	165	0.6	148	0.5	108	0.5	96	0.4	124	0.4
Poverty threshold												
Under	1,800	7.3	2,062	7.2	2,005	7.3	1,762	8.8	3,004	11.2	3,778	11.7
Over	18,466	75.2	20,925	73.3	20,069	72.8	15,754	78.7	21,971	82.0	26,684	82.6
NA	4,294	17.5	5,574	19.5	5,480	19.9	2,493	12.5	1,812	6.8	1,848	5.7
Heart diseases												
Yes	3,159	12.9	3,799	13.3	3,806	13.8	2,772	13.9	3,927	14.7	4,742	14.7
No	21,389	87.1	24,747	86.6	23,736	86.1	17,233	86.1	22,850	85.3	27,562	85.3
NA	12	0.0	15	0.1	12	0.0	4	0.0	10	0.0	6	0.0
Diabetes												
Yes	1,656	6.7	2,347	8.2	2,594	9.4	2,134	10.7	3,222	12.0	4,003	12.4
No	22,877	93.1	26,189	91.7	24,946	90.5	17,858	89.2	23,549	87.9	28,294	87.6
NA	27	0.1	25	0.1	14	0.1	17	0.1	16	0.1	13	0.0
Stroke												
Yes	733	3.0	836	2.9	841	3.1	651	3.3	899	3.4	1,153	3.6
No	23,801	96.9	27,691	97.0	26,688	96.9	19,345	96.7	25,872	96.6	31,141	96.4
NA	26	0.1	34	0.1	25	0.1	13	0.1	16	0.1	16	0.0
Chronic Bronchitis												
Yes	814	3.3	1,017	3.6	858	3.1	616	3.1	913	3.4	975	3.0
No	23,726	96.6	27,508	96.3	26,669	96.8	19,372	96.8	25,863	96.6	31,315	96.9
NA	20	0.1	36	0.1	27	0.1	21	0.1	11	0.0	20	0.1
Hyptertension												
Yes	6,766	27.5	8,179	28.6	8,495	30.8	7,034	35.2	10,018	37.4	12,454	38.5
No	17,760	72.3	20,329	71.2	19,011	69.0	12,955	64.7	16,727	62.4	19,827	61.4
NA	34	0.1	53	0.2	48	0.2	20	0.1	42	0.2	29	0.1
Cancer (any type)												
Yes	1,293	5.3	1,605	5.6	1,547	5.6	1,176	5.9	1,664	6.2	2,129	6.6
Yes, but healed	384	1.6	529	1.9	586	2.1	434	2.2	742	2.8	905	2.8
No	22,838	93.0	26,368	92.3	25,362	92.1	18,362	91.8	24,337	90.9	29,233	90.5
NA	33	0.1	57	0.2	45	0.2	30	0.1	37	0.1	31	0.1
Smoking												
Never smoker	9,897	40.3	12,252	42.9	12,554	32.6	9,338	46.7	12,731	47.5	15,633	48.4
Current smoker – weak	1,183	4.8	1,475	5.2	1,418	3.6	1,079	5.4	1,628	6.1	1,886	5.8
Current smoker – moderate	966	3.9	1,218	4.3	1,232	8.1	910	4.5	1,267	4.7	1,602	5.0
Current smoker – heavy	4,307	17.5	4,591	16.1	3,957	20.7	2,498	12.5	2,989	11.2	3,245	10.0
Former smoker	8,029	32.7	8,833	30.9	8,184	55.3	6,038	30.2	8,058	30.1	9,773	30.2
NA	178	0.7	192	0.7	209	1.5	146	0.7	114	0.4	171	0.5
Alcohol consumption												
Lifetime abstainer	2,818	11.5	3,616	12.7	3,820	23.6	2,595	13.0	2,973	11.1	3,947	12.2
Current drinker – weak	9,581	39.0	11,125	39.0	$10,\!641$	46.6	7,858	39.3	11,041	41.2	12,952	40.1
Current drinker – moderate	5,153	21.0	5,791	20.3	5,566	27.5	4,066	20.3	5,572	20.8	6,796	21.0
Current drinker – heavy	1,922	7.8	2,406	8.4	2,130	9.6	1,536	7.7	2,042	7.6	2,434	7.5
Former drinker	4,629	18.8	5,092	17.8	4,884	21.0	3,634	18.2	4,884	18.2	5,850	18.1
NA	457	1.9	531	1.9	513	3.9	320	1.6	275	1.0	331	1.0
Physical Activity												
Never	8,975	36.5	10,064	35.2	9,997	57.0	7,104	35.5	8,154	30.4	9,452	29.3
Less than recommendation	4,857	19.8	5,493	19.2	5,451	26.2	4,163	20.8	5,915	22.1	6,906	21.4
Equal to recommendation	3,633	14.8	4,294	15.0	4,220	20.9	3,000	15.0	4,406	16.4	5,323	16.5
More than recommendation	6,139	25.0	7,205	25.2	6,476	24.8	4,790	23.9	7,257	27.1	9,430	29.2
Unable	476	1.9	775	2.7	775	4.6	509	2.5	549	2.0	597	1.8
NA	480	2.0	730	2.6	635	5.2	443	2.2	506	1.9	602	1.9
Body-Mass-Index												
Minimum	16.4		17.1		16.2		16.7		15.8		16.2	
1 st Quantil	24.3		24.4		24.4		24.4		25.0		25.0	
Median	26.5		26.6		27.0		27.3		27.4		27.5	
Mean	27.1		27.3		27.6		27.8		28.1		28.2	
3^{rd} Quantil	29.4		29.7		30.0		30.4		30.7		30.8	
Maximum	48.1		48 1		49.8		52.3		51.4		51.2	



Figure 6: Body-Mass-Index Splines - Female



Figure 7: Body-Mass-Index Splines - Male



Relative Mortality Risk of Women Underweight vs. Normalweight

Year

Relative Mortality Risk of Men Underweight vs. Normalweight



Figure 8: Surface Plots - Odds Ratios - Underweight



Relative Mortality Risk of Women Overweight vs. Normalweight

Relative Mortality Risk of Men Overweight vs. Normalweight



Figure 9: Surface Plots - Odds Ratios - Overweight



Relative Mortality Risk of Men Obese vs. Normalweight



Figure 10: Surface Plots - Odds Ratios - Obese

References

- Baker, S. P., Braver, E. R., Chen, L.-H., Li, G., and Williams, A. F. (2002). Drinking histories of fatally injured drivers. *Injury Prevention*, 8(3):221–226. Publisher: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd Section: Original Article.
- Bender, R., Jöckel, K.-H., Trautner, C., Spraul, M., and Berger, M. (1999). Effect of Age on Excess Mortality in Obesity. JAMA, 281(16):1498–1504.
- Bonanni, P. (1999). Demographic impact of vaccination: a review. *Vaccine*, 17:S120–S125.
- Bonita, R., Stewart, A., and Beaglehole, R. (1990). International trends in stroke mortality: 1970-1985. Stroke, 21(7):989–992. Publisher: American Heart Association.
- Cardona, C. and Bishai, D. (2018). The slowing pace of life expectancy gains since 1950. BMC Public Health, 18(1):151.
- Carter, B. D., Abnet, C. C., Feskanich, D., Freedman, N. D., Hartge, P., Lewis, C. E., Ockene, J. K., Prentice, R. L., Speizer, F. E., Thun, M. J., and Jacobs, E. J. (2015). Smoking and Mortality — Beyond Established Causes. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372(7):631–640. Publisher: Massachusetts Medical Society _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1407211.
- Chang, J.-Y., Lee, K. S., Hahn, W.-H., Chung, S.-H., Choi, Y.-S., Shim, K. S., and Bae, C.-W. (2011). Decreasing Trends of Neonatal and Infant Mortality Rates in Korea: Compared with Japan, USA, and OECD Nations. *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, 26(9):1115–1123. Publisher: The Korean Academy of Medical Sciences.
- Cooper, R., Sempos, C., Hsieh, S. C., and Kovar, M. G. (1990). Slowdown in the decline of stroke mortality in the United States, 1978-1986. *Stroke*, 21(9):1274–1279. Publisher: American Heart Association.
- Corrada, M. M., Kawas, C. H., Mozaffar, F., and Paganini-Hill, A. (2006). Association of Body Mass Index and Weight Change with All-Cause Mortality in the Elderly. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 163(10):938–949.
- Coughlin, S. S., Calle, E. E., Teras, L. R., Petrelli, J., and Thun, M. J. (2004). Diabetes Mellitus as a Predictor of Cancer Mortality in a Large Cohort of US Adults. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 159(12):1160–1167.

- Doll, R., Peto, R., Boreham, J., and Sutherland, I. (2004). Mortality in relation to smoking: 50 years' observations on male British doctors. *BMJ*, 328(7455):1519. Publisher: British Medical Journal Publishing Group Section: Paper.
- Ezzati, M., Henley, S. J., Thun, M. J., and Lopez, A. D. (2005). Role of Smoking in Global and Regional Cardiovascular Mortality. *Circulation*, 112(4):489–497. Publisher: American Heart Association.
- Flegal, K. M., Kruszon-Moran, D., Carroll, M. D., Fryar, C. D., and Ogden, C. L. (2016). Trends in Obesity Among Adults in the United States, 2005 to 2014. JAMA, 315(21):2284–2291.
- Fox, C. S., Sullivan, L., D'Agostino, Sr, R. B., and Wilson, P. W. (2004). The Significant Effect of Diabetes Duration on Coronary Heart Disease Mortality: The Framingham Heart Study. *Diabetes Care*, 27(3):704–708.
- Fuchs, C. S., Stampfer, M. J., Colditz, G. A., Giovannucci, E. L., Manson, J. E., Kawachi, I., Hunter, D. J., Hankinson, S. E., Hennekens, C. H., Rosner, B., Speizer, F. E., and Willett, W. C. (1995). Alcohol Consumption and Mortality among Women. New England Journal of Medicine, 332(19):1245–1250. Publisher: Massachusetts Medical Society _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199505113321901.
- Gruberg, L., Weissman, N. J., Waksman, R., Fuchs, S., Deible, R., Pinnow, E. E., Ahmed,
 L. M., Kent, K. M., Pichard, A. D., Suddath, W. O., Satler, L. F., and Lindsay, J. (2002). The impact of obesity on the short-term andlong-term outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention: the obesity paradox? *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 39(4):578–584. Publisher: American College of Cardiology Foundation.
- Hakobyan, M. and Yepiskoposyan, L. (2010). Infant mortality decline in Armenia: Why with uneven rates? *Economics & Human Biology*, 8(1):134–137.
- Ho, J. Y. and Hendi, A. S. (2018). Recent trends in life expectancy across high income countries: retrospective observational study. *BMJ*, 362:k2562. Publisher: British Medical Journal Publishing Group Section: Research.
- Howlader, N., Forjaz, G., Mooradian, M. J., Meza, R., Kong, C. Y., Cronin, K. A., Mariotto, A. B., Lowy, D. R., and Feuer, E. J. (2020). The Effect of Advances in Lung-Cancer Treatment on Population Mortality. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(7):640–649. Publisher: Massachusetts Medical Society _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1916623.

- Hoyert, D. L., Kochanek, K. D., and Murphy, S. L. (1999). Deaths: final data for 1997. National vital statistics reports, 47(19):1–104.
- Joffres, M., Falaschetti, E., Gillespie, C., Robitaille, C., Loustalot, F., Poulter, N., McAlister, F. A., Johansen, H., Baclic, O., and Campbell, N. (2013). Hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment and control in national surveys from England, the USA and Canada, and correlation with stroke and ischaemic heart disease mortality: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 3(8):e003423. Publisher: British Medical Journal Publishing Group Section: Public health.
- Kenfield, S. A., Stampfer, M. J., Rosner, B. A., and Colditz, G. A. (2008). Smoking and Smoking Cessation in Relation to Mortality in Women. JAMA, 299(17):2037–2047.
- Kinsella, K. (1992). Changes in life expectancy 1900–1990. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 55(6):1196S–1202S.
- Kochanek, e. D., Murphy, S. L., Xu, J., and Tejada-Vera, B. (2016). Deaths : final data for 2014. *National Vital Statistics Reports*.
- Lakier, J. B. (1992). Smoking and cardiovascular disease. The American Journal of Medicine, 93(1, Supplement 1):S8–S12.
- Lipscombe, L. L. and Hux, J. E. (2007). Trends in diabetes prevalence, incidence, and mortality in Ontario, Canada 1995–2005: a population-based study. *The Lancet*, 369(9563):750–756. Publisher: Elsevier.
- Manson, J. E., Willett, W. C., Stampfer, M. J., Colditz, G. A., Hunter, D. J., Hankinson, S. E., Hennekens, C. H., and Speizer, F. E. (1995). Body Weight and Mortality among Women. New England Journal of Medicine, 333(11):677–685. Publisher: Massachusetts Medical Society _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199509143331101.
- Marmot, M. and Brunner, E. (1991). Alcohol and cardiovascular disease: the status of the U shaped curve. *BMJ* : *British Medical Journal*, 303(6802):565–568.
- Martin, J. A., Smith, B. L., Mathews, T. J., and Ventura, S. J. (1999). Births and deaths: preliminary data for 1998. National vital statistics reports : from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics System, 47(25):1–45.
- Masters, R. K., Powers, D. A., and Link, B. G. (2013). Obesity and US Mortality Risk Over the Adult Life Course. American Journal of Epidemiology, 177(5):431–442.

- Mokdad, A. H., Marks, J. S., Stroup, D. F., and Gerberding, J. L. (2004). Actual Causes of Death in the United States, 2000. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 291(10):1238–1245.
- Office on Smoking and Health (US) (2001). Women and Smoking: A Report of the Surgeon General. Publications and Reports of the Surgeon General. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US), Atlanta (GA).
- O'Flaherty, M., Buchan, I., and Capewell, S. (2013). Contributions of treatment and lifestyle to declining CVD mortality: why have CVD mortality rates declined so much since the 1960s? *Heart*, 99(3):159–162. Publisher: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and British Cardiovascular Society Section: Reviews.
- Oreopoulos, A., Padwal, R., Norris, C. M., Mullen, J. C., Pretorius, V., and Kalantar-Zadeh, K. (2008). Effect of Obesity on Short- and Long-term Mortality Postcoronary Revascularization: A Meta-analysis. *Obesity*, 16(2):442–450. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1038/oby.2007.36.
- Poikolainen, K. (1995). Alcohol and mortality: A review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 48(4):455–465.
- Preston, S. H. and Stokes, A. (2011). Contribution of Obesity to International Differences in Life Expectancy. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(11):2137–2143. Publisher: American Public Health Association.
- Raleigh, V. S. (2019). Trends in life expectancy in EU and other OECD countries: Why are improvements slowing? Technical report, OECD, Paris.
- Simonsen, L., Reichert, T. A., Viboud, C., Blackwelder, W. C., Taylor, R. J., and Miller, M. A. (2005). Impact of Influenza Vaccination on Seasonal Mortality in the US Elderly Population. Archives of Internal Medicine, 165(3):265–272.
- Singh, G. K. and Siahpush, M. (2006). Widening socioeconomic inequalities in US life expectancy, 1980–2000. International Journal of Epidemiology, 35(4):969–979.
- Stevens, J., Cai, J., Pamuk, E. R., Williamson, D. F., Thun, M. J., and Wood, J. L. (1998). The Effect of Age on the Association between Body-Mass Index and Mortality. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 338(1):1–7. Publisher: Massachusetts Medical Society _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199801013380101.
- Thun, M. J., Carter, B. D., Feskanich, D., Freedman, N. D., Prentice, R., Lopez, A. D., Hartge, P., and Gapstur, S. M. (2013). 50-Year Trends in Smoking-Related Mortality

in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine, 368(4):351–364. Publisher: Massachusetts Medical Society _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1211127.

- Vincent, J.-L., Preiser, J.-C., Sprung, C. L., Moreno, R., and Sakr, Y. (2010). Insulintreated diabetes is not associated with increased mortality in critically ill patients. *Critical Care*, 14(1):R12.
- Wannamethee, G. and Shaper, A. G. (1989). Body weight and mortality in middle aged British men: impact of smoking. *British Medical Journal*, 299(6714):1497–1502.
 Publisher: British Medical Journal Publishing Group Section: Research Article.
- White, I. R., Altmann, D. R., and Nanchahal, K. (2002). Alcohol consumption and mortality: modelling risks for men and women at different ages. *BMJ*, 325(7357):191.
 Publisher: British Medical Journal Publishing Group Section: Paper.
- WHO (2020). WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. World Health Organization, Geneva. OCLC: 1237095892.
- Yang, Q., Botto, L. D., Erickson, J. D., Berry, R. J., Sambell, C., Johansen, H., and Friedman, J. (2006). Improvement in Stroke Mortality in Canada and the United States, 1990 to 2002. *Circulation*, 113(10):1335–1343. Publisher: American Heart Association.
- Yang, Q., Tong, X., Schieb, L., Vaughan, A., Gillespie, C., Wiltz, J. L., King, S. C., Odom, E., Merritt, R., Hong, Y., and George, M. G. (2017). Vital Signs: Recent Trends in Stroke Death Rates — United States, 2000–2015. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 66(35):933–939.